

Risk No.	Description of the risk	SMT Owner	Current Controls	Current Risk Score			Actions to Achieve Target	Target Risk Score			Current status
				I	L	Score		I	L	Score	
1	<p>Failure to recruit and retain sufficient skilled staff to ensure safe and effective service delivery</p> <p>Causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Ineffective recruitment procedures</li> <li>Less favourable pay terms and conditions compared to the market</li> <li>Ineffective management</li> <li>Lack of opportunities for development and progression</li> </ul> <p>Consequences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Increased cost of recruiting interims to cover vacancies</li> <li>Failure to deliver services</li> <li>Poor staff morale</li> </ul>	D Mogg	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Specific recruitment plans in place for teams experiencing difficulties with recruitment. Innovative approaches being taken.</li> <li>Maximum alignment to national terms and conditions</li> <li>Health and Wellbeing programme in place for staff which continues to expand</li> <li>Corporate training programme in place along with a Leadership Development programme.</li> <li>Workforce Development Strategy approved in January 2016.</li> <li>Part of regional and national pay networks</li> <li>Regular market comparison of pay levels through epay check.</li> <li>Exit interview analysis and monitoring of turnover</li> </ul>	2	4	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Working groups in place to address the issues identified from the 2015 staff survey in respect of communication, wellbeing, environmental factors and mental health.</li> <li>Staff survey to be undertaken again early 2017</li> <li>Action plans required, to deliver the workforce development strategy which include specific actions around recruitment</li> <li>Development of improved marketing and recruitment strategies</li> </ul>	2	3	6	Further action required and this is built into the relevant work plans.

Risk Scores: I = Impact L = Likelihood

Risk No.	Description of the risk	SMT Owner	Current Controls	Current Risk Score			Actions to Achieve Target	Target Risk Score			Current status
				I	L	Score		I	L	Score	
2	<p>There is a risk that the Council cannot meet its statutory requirement to produce a robust and balanced budget now or in the medium term</p> <p>Causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• further losses of government funding</li> <li>• failure to identify or deliver savings programmes</li> <li>• unanticipated demand</li> <li>• unforeseen event</li> <li>• unwillingness to use our revenue generating powers (fees, council tax, precept etc)</li> <li>• failure to deliver growth</li> <li>• changes in government policy or funding regime</li> </ul> <p>Consequences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Breach of statutory requirement</li> <li>• Erosion of reserves below recommended levels</li> <li>• Drastic action needed to rectify the positions e.g. cuts</li> </ul>	S Della Rocca	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Lobbying of Government (done individually and with LGA/SPARSE)</li> <li>• Key savings programmes monitored by Directorate team, SMT and through quarterly monitoring</li> <li>• New saving programme to be developed in 16-17 for Places directorate (see opposite)</li> <li>• Maintenance of a 5-year MTFP with funding and other risks detailed in Budget and Quarterly reports</li> <li>• Risks quantified as far as possible and build into MTFP e.g. Living Wage, Contracts</li> <li>• Overall financial context discussed and shared with SMT/Cabinet formally and informally including sensitivity analysis over key variables</li> <li>• Economic development plan in place and key growth project (OEP)</li> </ul>	4	2	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agree by June 2016 a savings programme process for Places Directorate (HB)</li> <li>• Budget for 17/18 to include a corporate savings target covering all Directorates supported by indicative plans. Some information to be provided in Efficiency Plan to go to Cabinet in August.</li> <li>• Impact of Brexit to be considered in due course. Advice being sought from Treasury and Pension Fund advisors.</li> </ul>	4	2	8	<p>List of savings projects for Places being developed and will be consolidated into budget for 17/18.</p> <p>All Directorates working up savings idea.</p> <p>Treasury advisors have issued some initial information re interest rate forecasts but there is little change at this point.</p>
3	Failure to deliver key services should a significant	D Brown	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A Business Impact Assessment (BIA) has</li> </ul>	4	3	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An SMT exercise is planned to test the</li> </ul>	3	3	9	Further action required.

Risk Scores: I = Impact L = Likelihood

Risk No.	Description of the risk	SMT Owner	Current Controls	Current Risk Score			Actions to Achieve Target	Target Risk Score			Current status
				I	L	Score		I	L	Score	
	<p>business interruption occur, including supplier failure.</p> <p>Causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Natural disasters</li> <li>• Fire</li> <li>• ITC system failure</li> <li>• Restricted access to premises</li> <li>• Loss of utilities</li> <li>• Outbreak of disease or infection</li> <li>• Terrorist attack</li> <li>• Theft or vandalism</li> <li>• Failure of key suppliers or contractors</li> <li>• Ransomware attack</li> </ul> <p>Consequences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Failure to deliver key services</li> <li>• Breach of statutory duty</li> <li>• Reputational damage</li> </ul>		<p>been carried out to determine which services are critical, how quickly they must be restored and the minimum resources required.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A Major Incident Plan has been prepared which defines a structure to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Confirm the nature and extent of any incident;</li> <li>○ Take control of the situation;</li> <li>○ Contain the incident; and</li> <li>○ Communicate with stakeholders.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Specific recovery plans are in place for the 5 key threats: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ loss of key staff (skills/knowledge);</li> <li>○ loss of telephone system;</li> <li>○ loss of buildings;</li> <li>○ loss of ICT; and</li> <li>○ loss of utilities.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Business continuity documents have been uploaded to a secure website (Resilience Direct) to ensure they can be accessed from</li> </ul>				<p>Major Incident Plan was undertaken in April 2016.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recovery plans will be reviewed following the exercise.</li> <li>• Checks required to ensure contracts are being risk assessed and appropriate mitigation are in place.</li> <li>• An additional recovery plan is required for the supported living service.</li> <li>• Continued focus on raising awareness with staff about the risk of ransomware.</li> <li>• Further revision of IT controls and response plan in the event of a ransomware attack based on the learning from Lincolnshire.</li> </ul>				

Risk Scores: I = Impact L = Likelihood

Risk No.	Description of the risk	SMT Owner	Current Controls	Current Risk Score			Actions to Achieve Target	Target Risk Score			Current status
				I	L	Score		I	L	Score	
			<p>any site in the event of an incident.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Contract procedure rules include the requirement for contract managers to consider the impact of contractor failure and mitigate the risks appropriately.</li> </ul>								
4	<p>Failure to Safeguard (Children) and a child is significantly abused, badly hurt or dies.</p> <p>Causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Case not being known</li> <li>Failing to identify risk after referral</li> <li>Failing to effectively assess risk at the correct level</li> <li>Failure to put relevant safeguards in place</li> <li>Poor information sharing</li> </ul> <p>Consequences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Intensive scrutiny by Public and Press</li> <li>Reputation damage</li> <li>Potential loss of frontline staff</li> <li>Potential external</li> </ul>	Tim O'Neill	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Processes and procedures in place to protect the most vulnerable.</li> <li>Scrutiny and overview from the Safeguarding Boards.</li> <li>Monthly performance and financial monitoring by senior officers and update reports to Cabinet.</li> <li>High quality, timely information contained within case files.</li> <li>High quality, timely management oversight.</li> <li>Revised supervision process to ensure early information.</li> <li>Ensuring we have sufficient competent staff to safeguard children and there is no unallocated work.</li> <li>Case auditing to identify any shortfalls in practice</li> </ul>	3	3	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Service Improvement Plan delivered phase 1 – March 16; phase 2 – March 17.</li> <li>Phase 1 includes:</li> <li>Introduction of new quality assurance process</li> <li>Introduction of new performance management framework</li> <li>Implement new recruitment approach including retention payments for social workers</li> <li>Review of children's duty front door services to ensure appropriate partnership/community referrals – completed.</li> </ul>	2	3	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Significant issues of interim staff have been addressed in part by the Recruitment/ retention strategy. Residual risk remains on certain significant posts.</li> </ul>

Risk Scores: I = Impact L = Likelihood

Risk No.	Description of the risk	SMT Owner	Current Controls	Current Risk Score			Actions to Achieve Target	Target Risk Score			Current status
				I	L	Score		I	L	Score	
	<p>intervention</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Requirement to undertake and publish a serious case review</li> <li>Potentially high legal costs</li> </ul>		<p>and to identify where further action is required to keep children safe.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Development of clear practice standards so staff know what is expected of them.</li> <li>Case tracker to ensure visits are being undertaken.</li> <li>Management oversight recorded on file.</li> <li>Effective Staff training</li> <li>Strict application of the panel process.</li> </ul>								
5	<p>Failure to Safeguard (Adults) and an adult is significantly abused, badly hurt or dies.</p> <p>Causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Case not being known</li> <li>Failing to identify risk after referral</li> <li>Failing to effectively assess risk at the correct level</li> <li>Failure to put relevant safeguards in place</li> <li>Poor information sharing</li> </ul> <p>Consequences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Intensive scrutiny by Public and Press</li> <li>Reputation damage</li> <li>Potential loss of</li> </ul>	TON	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Processes and procedures in place to protect the most vulnerable.</li> <li>Scrutiny and overview from the Safeguarding Boards.</li> <li>Monthly performance and financial monitoring by senior officers and update reports to Cabinet.</li> <li>High quality, timely information contained within case files.</li> <li>High quality, timely management oversight by DASM.</li> <li>Ensuring we have sufficient expert and</li> </ul>	3	3	9	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Implement new recruitment approach including retention payments for social workers – Jan 16</li> <li>Development and embedding of Prevention &amp; Safeguarding team – March 16</li> <li>Implementation and embedding MSP now incorporated in the Care Act – March 16</li> </ul>	2	3	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Adult scrutiny have scrutinised procedures related to care home and measures in place to safeguard.</li> <li>Adult scrutiny will next be scrutinising home care.</li> <li>Significant issues of interim staff have been addressed in part by the Recruitment/retention strategy. Residual risk remains on certain significant posts.</li> </ul>

Risk Scores: I = Impact L = Likelihood

Risk No.	Description of the risk	SMT Owner	Current Controls	Current Risk Score			Actions to Achieve Target	Target Risk Score			Current status
				I	L	Score		I	L	Score	
	<p>frontline staff</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Potential external intervention</li> <li>• Requirement to undertake and publish a serious case review</li> <li>• Potentially high legal costs</li> </ul>		<p>competent staff</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Case auditing to identify any shortfalls in practice and to identify where further action is required</li> <li>• Development of clear practice standards so staff know what is expected of them.</li> <li>• Management oversight recorded on file alongside regular supervision.</li> <li>• Effective Training of Staff</li> </ul>								
6	<p>Long term failure to achieve educational attainment.</p> <p>Causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Poor quality teaching, learning and governance in schools.</li> <li>• Poorer family engagement in the home.</li> </ul> <p>Consequences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reputation damage</li> <li>• Reputation damage</li> <li>• Potential external intervention</li> </ul>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Monitoring by officers</li> <li>• Education Performance Board to review schools.</li> <li>• Increased scrutiny and intervention in schools causing concern.</li> <li>• Regular liaison with DfE and Ofsted</li> <li>• Effective early help support</li> </ul>	4	3	12	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implementation of year 1 of the learning and skill strategy, particularly in relation to categorisation and monitoring of school outcomes – August 16</li> <li>• Implementation of year 1 of the early help strategy – March 16</li> </ul>	4	2	8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Positive one academic year improvement across all Key Stages not yet sustained over longer period</li> <li>• Developing strong partnership schools and academies again this needs to be sustained</li> </ul>

Risk Scores: I = Impact L = Likelihood

Risk No.	Description of the risk	SMT Owner	Current Controls	Current Risk Score			Actions to Achieve Target	Target Risk Score			Current status
				I	L	Score		I	L	Score	
7	<p>Failure to put in place the infrastructure to support growth</p> <p>Causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Development occurs at a faster pace than anticipated</li> <li>• Infrastructure needs are not identified and provided for</li> </ul> <p>Consequences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Complaints from community and potential risk of legal challenge</li> </ul>	H Briggs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Infrastructure requirements fully identified linked to CIL and the 123 list</li> <li>• Regularly reviewed</li> <li>• Key infrastructure requirements are monitored on a regular basis e.g. School Places</li> <li>• Specific projects in place to meet specific need including:- Digital Rutland – Broadband OEP – employment and business growth Schools Programme – School and Learning places</li> <li>• Medium Term financial plan and level of balances would facilitate urgent action to be taken if required</li> </ul>	2	2	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue to review the 123 list and prioritise the most significant requirements</li> <li>• Ensure CIL implemented and receipts are collected and targeted at need</li> <li>• Review key areas as at present</li> </ul>	2	2	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Actions are in place to deliver against current demand and need</li> </ul>

Risk Scores: I = Impact L = Likelihood

Risk No.	Description of the risk	SMT Owner	Current Controls	Current Risk Score			Actions to Achieve Target	Target Risk Score			Current status
				I	L	Score		I	L	Score	
8	<p>Failure to secure delivery of change required within Health &amp; Social Care</p> <p>Causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Insufficient funding</li> <li>• Demand exceeds expectations</li> <li>• Challenge to changes slows the process down</li> </ul> <p>Consequences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ineffective service delivery and on-going cost pressure and impact on MTFP</li> </ul>	H Briggs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Risk highlighted and an allowance made within our MTFP</li> <li>• Playing a key role in the LLR BCT Project</li> <li>• Working directly with ELRCCG to achieve improved care pathways and focus on 'Left Shift' and its impact</li> <li>• Focussing on early intervention and prevention – evidence from BCF outcomes is strong in most areas</li> <li>• ASC strategy is now at the consultation stage</li> <li>• New commissioning framework being developed</li> <li>• Better Care Fund evolving and initial outcomes are positive</li> </ul>	3	5	15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Need to remain engaged in BCT project</li> <li>• Quantify and risk assess the impact on Social Care of BCT changes</li> <li>• Continue with Care Pathway reviews and changes</li> <li>• Expand BCF to accommodate the impact of Left Shift – the second BCF is currently going through the assurance process prior to agreement at H &amp; W Board</li> <li>• Continue to make adequate and appropriate provision within our MTFP</li> <li>• Ensure our commissioning framework is sufficiently flexible to accommodate pressure from spikes in demand</li> </ul>	2	2	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Although significant work is on-going this is still at an early stage and requires a sustained focus</li> <li>• The Social Care precept has assisted in this area but has not entirely mitigated the pressure within the MTFP</li> <li>• Work has begun on looking at activity trends and projecting these forward to test the adequacy of social care contingencies in the MTFP</li> </ul>

Risk Scores: I = Impact L = Likelihood



Risk No.	Description of the risk	SMT Owner	Current Controls	Current Risk Score			Actions to Achieve Target	Target Risk Score			Current status
				I	L	Score		I	L	Score	
9	<p>Failure to manage the public's perception of the Council</p> <p>Causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A significant failing in service provision</li> </ul> <p>Consequences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Loss of confidence and significant resource required to improve thus distracting from service delivery</li> </ul>	H Briggs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Council works hard and pro-actively to present a positive image through a number of channels including:- Web Site Local press through PR's Social Media Rutland Radio</li> <li>The Council's Strategic Communication Advisor provides advice and training where required for Officers and Members</li> <li>If additional support is required this is available and has been used during 2015 to good effect</li> <li>SMT monitor current issues and assess the likely impact positive and negative. Where required, communication strategies are developed customised to the event etc.</li> </ul>	2	2	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continue current actions as outlined</li> <li>Media training being refreshed in 2016</li> <li>Expanding Social Media presence</li> <li>Web site being redeveloped</li> <li>Customer Services being reviewed</li> <li>Plan in place for responses to events as they occur e.g. Resilience Issues</li> </ul>	2	2	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Recent experience has tested current plans and they have met the test. Active learning will feed into on-going review of plans.</li> </ul>

Risk Scores: I = Impact L = Likelihood

Risk No.	Description of the risk	SMT Owner	Current Controls	Current Risk Score			Actions to Achieve Target	Target Risk Score			Current status
				I	L	Score		I	L	Score	
10	<p>Failure to protect the health and safety of employees and members of the public</p> <p>Causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Non-compliance with health and safety legislation</li> </ul> <p>Consequences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Employee or customer injury</li> <li>Regulatory fine</li> <li>Reputational damage</li> </ul>	P Phillipson	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Full time health and safety advisor employed who reviews health and safety implications of all policies and contracts.</li> <li>Joint safety committee in place that reviews all internal risk reports such as RIDOR forms.</li> <li>Contract procedure rules require contract managers to take due regard of health and safety when procuring contracts.</li> <li>Managers complete risk assessments for service activities and review annually.</li> <li>Mandatory health and safety training for all staff as part of induction process.</li> </ul>	2	3	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Corporate health and safety risk assessment template required.</li> <li>Central register of risk assessments.</li> </ul>	2	3	6	Live

Risk Scores: I = Impact L = Likelihood

Risk No.	Description of the risk	SMT Owner	Current Controls	Current Risk Score			Actions to Achieve Target	Target Risk Score			Current status
				I	L	Score		I	L	Score	
11	<p>Failure of corporate governance (incl data governance) with service, financial or reputational consequences</p> <p>Causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Serious data breach</li> <li>Breakdown in internal control</li> <li>Decision taken without the proper authority</li> <li>Fraud</li> </ul> <p>Consequences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Non-achievement of objectives</li> <li>Reputational damage</li> <li>Financial loss or fine</li> </ul>	D Mogg	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Constitution, including scheme of delegation</li> <li>Annual Governance Statement</li> <li>Corporate compliments, comments and complaints scheme</li> <li>Member and Officer Codes of Conduct</li> <li>Member Training Programme</li> <li>Policies in place re Bribery, Whistleblowing, Anti-fraud and corruption</li> <li>Clear management structure</li> <li>Data Protection Policy and Procedures supported by training</li> <li>IT security policy</li> <li>Track ICO guidance</li> <li>Proactive internal audit service</li> <li>Fraud risk register in place and has been reviewed for April Audit and Risk Committee – no major issues highlighted</li> </ul>	3	2	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Complete review of scheme of delegation to take place by March 2016</li> <li>Further development of the Members training programme</li> <li>IT security policies to be reviewed</li> <li>Review of constitution, finance procedure rules and contract procedure rules</li> </ul>	3	2	6	<p>Ongoing</p> <p>Review of constitution, finance procedure rules and contract procedure rules has been undertaken and various changes proposed for Council to consider at its July meeting.</p>

Risk Scores: I = Impact L = Likelihood

Risk No.	Description of the risk	SMT Owner	Current Controls	Current Risk Score			Actions to Achieve Target	Target Risk Score			Current status
				I	L	Score		I	L	Score	
12	<p>Failure to successfully manage the transition to new Leadership of the Council</p> <p>Causes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unexpected death of former Leader prevented a planned, comprehensive handover to the current Leader.</li> <li>• Current Leader recuperating from an operation</li> <li>• Changes to portfolios means that Cabinet members have taken on new and additional responsibilities</li> </ul> <p>Consequences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Non-achievement of objectives</li> </ul>	H Briggs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Comprehensive induction process for portfolio holders</li> <li>• Training fund available for specific/technical training for Cabinet</li> <li>• Dedicated strategic planning time set aside for Cabinet and SMT.</li> <li>• Deputy Leader shadowing Leader</li> <li>• LGA Support for Cabinet Development</li> </ul>	2	3	6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No additional actions required</li> </ul>	2	3	6	

Risk Scores: I = Impact L = Likelihood